

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/893,211	06/28/2001	Tatsuo Ito	35.C15629	5019	
5514	7590 10/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
+	CK CELLA HARPER &	RUDY, A	RUDY, ANDREW J		
30 ROCKEFE NEW YORK,	LLER PLAZA NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TVD TOTAL,			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/200	DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005	

DATE MAILED. 10/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/893,211	ITO, TATSUO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on	09 August 2005.				
2a) <u></u> ⊤	his action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	`			
3)□ S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20 and 25-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>20 and 25-33</u> is/are rejected.					
	laim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□ C	laim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
. А	pplicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) 🔲 Th	e oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of 3) Informa	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 9, 2005 has been entered. The previous rejection is withdrawn pursuant to Applicant's August 9, 2005 Amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 20 and 25-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The original specification does not disclose "a server function of managing a status of at least one consumable used upon printing in a printing device" as recited from claim 25.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,211

Art Unit: 3627

Also, from claim 25, no "receiving unit" is clearly defined from the original specification. Fig. 107 and the associated descriptive portion of the specification does not clarify what the receiving units are.

Further, from claim 25, no "storage unit that records information on a customer's purchase of merchandise provided by a consumable trader, the purchase being based on the calculated service point" is present from the original specification.

Similar deficiencies occur in claims 28 and 32.

As is, the claim language is not clear as to its meets and bounds.

Applicant must particularly point out where in the original descriptive portion of the specification and associated drawing figures where support for such is found.

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 20 and 25-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 20, line 2, "function of managing a status" is not clear syntax.

Claim 28, line 2, "function of managing a status" is not clear syntax.

Claim 32, line 2, "function of managing a status" is not clear syntax.

Art Unit: 3627

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-283-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627